
**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009

Commission File Number 001-08106



MASTEC, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in Its charter)

Florida
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

800 S. Douglas Road, 12th Floor, Coral Gables, FL
(Address of principal executive offices)

65-0829355
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

33134
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (305) 599-1800

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

| | | | |
|-------------------------|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Large accelerated filer | <input type="checkbox"/> | Accelerated filer | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Non-accelerated filer | <input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) | Smaller reporting company | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of July 27, 2009, MasTec, Inc. had 75,713,698 shares of common stock, \$0.10 par value, outstanding.

MASTEC, INC.
FORM 10-Q
QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| PART 1. FINANCIAL INFORMATION | 3 |
| Item 1. Financial Statements | 3 |
| Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations | 3 |
| Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets | 4 |
| Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | 5 |
| Notes to the Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements | 6 |
| Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 22 |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk | 31 |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures | 31 |
| PART II. OTHER INFORMATION | 31 |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings | 31 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 31 |
| Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 34 |
| Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders | 34 |
| Item 6. Exhibits | 35 |
| SIGNATURES | 36 |

PART 1. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****MASTEC, INC.**
CONDENSED UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--|------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Revenue | \$ 387,854 | \$ 305,034 | \$ 729,972 | \$ 567,026 |
| Costs of revenue, excluding depreciation and amortization | 328,047 | 259,561 | 618,973 | 486,405 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 10,744 | 6,579 | 21,386 | 11,606 |
| General and administrative expenses, including non-cash stock compensation expense of \$1,068 and \$1,890, respectively, in 2009 and \$1,105 and \$1,949, respectively, in 2008 | 24,654 | 19,404 | 47,909 | 39,211 |
| Interest expense, net of interest income | 5,780 | 3,656 | 11,543 | 6,152 |
| Other income, net | (745) | (394) | (1,243) | (545) |
| Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes | 19,374 | 16,228 | 31,404 | 24,197 |
| Provision for income taxes | 383 | 407 | 484 | 440 |
| Income from continuing operations | 18,991 | 15,821 | 30,920 | 23,757 |
| Loss from discontinued operations | — | (85) | — | (240) |
| Net income | <u>\$ 18,991</u> | <u>\$ 15,736</u> | <u>\$ 30,920</u> | <u>\$ 23,517</u> |
| Basic net income per share: | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.41 | \$ 0.35 |
| Discontinued operations | — | — | — | — |
| Total basic net income per share | <u>\$ 0.25</u> | <u>\$ 0.23</u> | <u>\$ 0.41</u> | <u>\$ 0.35</u> |
| Basic weighted average common shares outstanding | <u>75,662</u> | <u>67,207</u> | <u>75,604</u> | <u>67,197</u> |
| Diluted net income per share: | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.35 |
| Discontinued operations | — | — | — | — |
| Total diluted net income per share | <u>\$ 0.25</u> | <u>\$ 0.23</u> | <u>\$ 0.40</u> | <u>\$ 0.35</u> |
| Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding | <u>81,963</u> | <u>68,182</u> | <u>77,607</u> | <u>68,123</u> |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements.

MASTEC, INC.
CONDENSED UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

| | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents, including restricted cash of \$18,050 at both June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 | \$ 78,397 | \$ 47,263 |
| Cash surrender value of redeemed life insurance policies | 1,781 | — |
| Accounts receivable, costs and earnings in excess of billings and retainage, net of allowance | 269,623 | 327,382 |
| Inventories | 34,340 | 32,769 |
| Deferred tax asset | 19,311 | 5,945 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 26,716 | 26,006 |
| Total current assets | 430,168 | 439,365 |
| Property and equipment, net | 150,308 | 158,013 |
| Goodwill and other intangibles, net | 420,311 | 420,604 |
| Deferred taxes, net | 12,252 | 25,165 |
| Securities available for sale | 22,805 | 20,580 |
| Other assets | 28,811 | 27,170 |
| Total assets | \$1,064,655 | \$1,090,897 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | \$ 15,510 | \$ 16,884 |
| Accounts payable and other accrued expenses | 185,539 | 192,407 |
| Billings in excess of costs and earnings | 19,049 | 57,720 |
| Accrued legal settlement charges | 1,954 | 13,212 |
| Accrued insurance | 13,960 | 17,297 |
| Other current liabilities | 26,081 | 36,528 |
| Total current liabilities | 262,093 | 334,048 |
| Other liabilities | 25,220 | 26,305 |
| Long-term debt | 297,456 | 287,454 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 584,769 | \$ 647,807 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$1.00 par value; authorized shares – 5,000,000; issued and outstanding shares – none | \$ — | \$ — |
| Common stock, \$0.10 par value; authorized shares – 145,000,000; issued and outstanding shares – 75,700,497 and 75,454,565 shares at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively | 7,570 | 7,545 |
| Capital surplus | 626,346 | 622,745 |
| Accumulated deficit | (142,868) | (173,788) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (11,162) | (13,412) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 479,886 | 443,090 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$1,064,655 | \$1,090,897 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements.

MASTEC, INC.
CONDENSED UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 30,920 | \$ 23,517 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 21,386 | 11,606 |
| Non-cash stock and restricted stock compensation expense | 1,890 | 1,949 |
| Gain on sale of fixed assets | (961) | (323) |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 1,261 | 1,787 |
| Provision for losses on construction projects | 2,141 | — |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, net of assets acquired and liabilities assumed: | | |
| Accounts receivable, unbilled revenue and retainage, net | 56,291 | (29,470) |
| Inventories | (1,571) | (6,172) |
| Other assets, current and non-current portion | (217) | (2,193) |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | (7,120) | 9,165 |
| Other liabilities, current and non-current portion | (51,611) | 4,030 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | <u>52,409</u> | <u>13,896</u> |
| Cash flows used in investing activities: | | |
| Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash acquired | (18,762) | (59,186) |
| Capital expenditures | (10,892) | (16,104) |
| Investments in life insurance policies | 718 | (569) |
| Net proceeds from sale of assets | 2,440 | 1,619 |
| Purchases of securities available for sale | — | (16,437) |
| Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale | — | 29,924 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | <u>(26,496)</u> | <u>(60,753)</u> |
| Cash flows provided by financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from credit facility | 35,682 | — |
| Repayments of credit facility | (78,150) | — |
| Proceeds from other borrowings, net | 115,000 | 20,638 |
| Repayments of other borrowings | (62,583) | — |
| Payments of capital lease obligations | (1,322) | (1,178) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock pursuant to stock option exercises | 1,736 | 71 |
| Payments of financing costs | (5,199) | — |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | <u>5,164</u> | <u>19,531</u> |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 31,077 | (27,326) |
| Net effect of currency translation on cash | 57 | (7) |
| Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of period | 47,263 | 74,288 |
| Cash and cash equivalents - end of period | <u>\$ 78,397</u> | <u>\$ 46,955</u> |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Interest | \$ 11,059 | \$ 6,264 |
| Income taxes | \$ 780 | \$ 551 |
| Supplemental disclosure of non-cash information: | | |
| Equipment acquired under capital lease | \$ — | \$ 880 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements.

MasTec, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1 – Nature of the Business

MasTec, Inc. (collectively, with its subsidiaries, “MasTec” or the “Company”) is a leading specialty contractor operating mainly throughout the United States and across a range of industries. The Company’s core activities are the building, installation, maintenance and upgrade of utility and communications infrastructure, including but not limited to, electrical utility transmission and distribution, wind farm, other renewable energy and natural gas infrastructure, wireless, wireline, and satellite communication and water and sewer systems. MasTec’s primary customers are in the following industries: utilities (including wind farms and other renewable energy, natural gas gathering systems and pipeline infrastructure), communications (including telephony, satellite television and cable television) and government (water and sewer, utilities and communications work on military bases).

Note 2 – Basis for Presentation

The accompanying condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and with the instructions for Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, these financial statements do not include all information and notes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements and should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in MasTec’s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. In management’s opinion, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented have been included.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Key estimates for MasTec include the recognition of revenue, allowance for doubtful accounts, accrued self-insured claims, the fair value of goodwill, intangible assets and securities available for sale, asset lives used in computing depreciation and amortization, including amortization of intangibles, and accounting for income taxes, contingencies and litigation. While management believes that such estimates are fair when considered in conjunction with the consolidated financial position and results of operations taken as a whole, actual results could differ from those estimates and such differences may be material to the financial statements.

Note 3 – Significant Accounting Policies

The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies followed in the preparation of the accompanying condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements:

Principles of consolidation. The accompanying condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements include MasTec, Inc. and its subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Reclassifications. Certain reclassifications were made to the prior year financial statements to conform to the current period presentation.

Cash and cash equivalents. All short-term highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less are considered to be cash equivalents stated at cost which approximates market value. Restricted cash, included in cash and cash equivalents, represents cash deposited in support of letters of credit issued through our Credit Facility. Our Credit Facility provides full availability for those funds and there is no reduction in liquidity. The cash is invested in certificates of deposit with maturities equal to or less than 90 days.

Cash surrender value of redeemed life insurance policies. The cash surrender value of redeemed life insurance policies represents the aggregate cash received in July 2009 upon the June redemption of a variable life insurance policy owned by the Company. The policy was previously subject to a split dollar life insurance agreement with a former executive that was terminated in January 2009.

MasTec, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Comprehensive income (loss). Comprehensive income (loss) is a measure of net gain (loss) and all other changes in equity that result from transactions other than with shareholders. Comprehensive income (loss) consists of net income (loss), unrealized gains and losses from securities available for sale and foreign currency translation adjustments.

Comprehensive income consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--|------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net income | \$ 18,991 | \$ 15,736 | \$ 30,920 | \$ 23,517 |
| Foreign currency translation gain (loss) | 24 | (7) | 25 | (7) |
| Unrealized gain (loss) from securities available for sale | 1,796 | 822 | 2,225 | (1,972) |
| Comprehensive income | <u>\$ 20,811</u> | <u>\$ 16,551</u> | <u>\$ 33,170</u> | <u>\$ 21,538</u> |

Accumulated other comprehensive loss of \$11.2 million and \$13.4 million as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, is primarily due to unrealized losses from securities available for sale. See Note 6 – Securities Available for Sale.

Revenue recognition. Revenue and related costs for master and other service agreements billed on a time and materials basis are recognized as the services are rendered. Services are also performed under master and other service agreements billed on a fixed fee basis. Under fixed fee master service and similar type service agreements, MasTec furnishes various specified units of service for a separate fixed price per unit of service. For service agreements on a fixed fee basis, profitability will be reduced if the actual costs to complete each unit exceed original estimates.

In addition to master and other service agreements, the Company enters into contracts that require the installation or construction of specified units within an infrastructure system. Under these contracts, revenue is recognized at the contractually agreed price per unit as the units are completed and delivered. Profitability will be reduced if the actual costs to complete each unit exceed original estimates. The full amount of any estimated loss on these projects is immediately recognized if estimated costs to complete the remaining units for the project exceed the revenue to be earned on such units.

The Company also enters into fixed price, long-term installation/construction contracts that primarily require the construction and installation of an entire infrastructure system. Revenue and related costs are recognized as work progresses under these contracts using the percentage-of-completion method, as prescribed in AICPA Statement of Position No. 81-1, “Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts” (SOP 81-1) which requires the Company to estimate total project costs and profit to be earned on each long-term, fixed-price contract. MasTec’s process for estimating total costs is based upon the professional knowledge and experience of its project managers and financial professionals. Under the percentage-of-completion method, the Company records revenue and recognizes profit or loss as work on the contract progresses. The cumulative amount of revenue recorded on a contract at a specified point in time is that percentage of total estimated revenue that contract costs incurred to date bear to estimated total contract costs. The full amount of any estimated loss on a contract is recognized at the time the estimates indicate such a loss.

Periodically, work is performed outside of the specific requirements of the contract at the request of the customer. Generally, the revenue associated with such work is not recognized until the change order reflecting the scope and price for such work is executed. In rare circumstances, in accordance with SOP 81-1, the revenue may be recognized up to the amount of the cost if it is probable that the revenue is both realizable and collectable.

In certain circumstances MasTec’s customers determine the specification and supply materials such as cable, conduit and telephone equipment. Customer-furnished materials for which the customer retains the financial and performance risk associated with these materials are not included in revenue and cost of sales.

Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts are classified as current liabilities. Any costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings are classified as current assets. Work in process on contracts is based on work performed but not billed to customers as per individual contract terms.

Fair value of financial instruments. Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements” (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and expands disclosure requirements about fair value measurements. In accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Staff Position No. FAS 157-2, “Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157” (FSP 157-2), the Company adopted SFAS 157 for its nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those items recognized or disclosed at fair value on an annual or more frequent recurring basis, as of January 1, 2009 and this adoption did not have a material impact on the condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements. The adoption of SFAS 157 for MasTec’s financial assets and liabilities did not have a material impact on its fair value measurements.

In October 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position FAS 157-3, “Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for that Asset is not Active” (FSP FAS 157-3). FSP FAS 157-3 clarifies the application of SFAS 157 in inactive markets and provides guidance for evaluating and using observable and unobservable inputs in these circumstances. FSP FAS 157-3 has been adopted by the Company in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements since December 31, 2008.

The fair market value of financial instruments is generally estimated through the use of public market prices, quotes from financial institutions and other available information. Judgment is required in interpreting data to develop estimates of market value and, accordingly, amounts are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized in a current market exchange. Short-term financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, accounts and notes receivable, accounts payable and other liabilities, consist primarily of instruments without extended maturities, for which the fair value, based on management’s estimates, approximates their carrying values. At June 30, 2009, there was insufficient observable market data to determine the fair value of the Company’s auction rate securities due to the lack of activity in this market. Therefore, the fair value of these investments was estimated by an independent valuation firm, Houlihan Smith & Company, Inc., using a probability weighted discounted cash flow model.

At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the estimated fair value of the Company’s outstanding senior notes was approximately \$129.8 million and \$112.4 million, respectively, and at June 30, 2009, the estimated fair value of the Company’s outstanding senior convertible notes was approximately \$111.1 million.

Securities available for sale. Securities available-for-sale are accounted for in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 115, “Accounting For Certain Debt and Equity Securities,” as amended by FASB Statement of Position FAS 115-2 and 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*” (FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2). Securities available-for-sale are recorded at fair value, determined in accordance with SFAS 157, and temporary unrealized holding gains and losses are recorded as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Unrealized losses are charged against net earnings when a decline in fair value is determined to be other-than-temporary. In accordance with FASB Statement of Position FAS 115-1 and 124-1, “The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments,” several factors are reviewed to determine whether a loss is other-than-temporary. These factors include but are not limited to: (i) the length of time a security is in an unrealized loss position, (ii) the extent to which fair value is less than cost, (iii) the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer and (iv) the Company’s intent and ability to hold the security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value. FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2 amends the other-than-temporary-impairment guidance in U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for debt securities to make the guidance more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary-impairments on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. Under FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2, an impairment is considered to be other-than-temporary if an entity (i) intends to sell the security, (ii) more likely than not will be required to sell the security before recovering its cost, or (iii) does not expect to recover the security’s entire amortized cost basis, even if there is no intent to sell the security. In assessing the expectation of recovery, FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2 requires an assessment of the present value of cash flows expected to be collected. If this assessment yields an amount less than the amortized cost basis of the security, even if the entity has the intent, and more likely than not, the ability to hold the securities, a credit loss is deemed to exist, which is considered an other-than-temporary impairment. The amount of an other-than-temporary impairment attributable to credit losses is recognized in earnings, while the amount of an other-than-temporary impairment related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income.

The Company’s securities available for sale consist of auction-rate securities which represent interests in pools of student loans guaranteed by the U.S. government under the Federal Family Education Loan Program and structured finance securities. These structured finance securities are collateralized by credit-linked notes made up of investment grade floating rate international bank notes or credit card receivable notes and credit default swap agreements on corporate debt obligations. Under the terms of the credit default swaps on the Company’s corporate debt obligations, the principal value of the auction rate securities would be impaired at

MasTec, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

net default rates on the underlying corporate debt obligations ranging from 8% to 10.75%. These auction-rate securities are accounted for as securities available for sale at fair value, and unrealized gains and losses are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) as a separate component of shareholders' equity. The Company's estimate of fair value is sensitive to market conditions and management judgment and can change significantly based on the assumptions used. Factors that may impact the Company's valuation include changes to credit ratings of the securities as well as to the underlying assets supporting those securities, rates of default of the underlying assets, underlying collateral value, discount rates, counterparty risk and ongoing strength and quality of market credit and liquidity. See Note 6 – Securities Available for Sale.

Accrued insurance. MasTec maintains insurance policies subject to per claim deductibles of \$1 million for its workers' compensation policy, \$2 million for its general liability policy and \$2 million for its automobile liability policy. The Company has excess umbrella coverage up to \$100 million per claim and in the aggregate. MasTec actuarially determines liabilities for unpaid claims and associated expenses, including incurred but not reported losses, and reflects the present value of those liabilities in the balance sheet as other current and non-current liabilities. The determination of such claims and expenses and appropriateness of the related liability is reviewed and updated quarterly. Accruals are based upon known facts, historical trends and a reasonable estimate of future expenses. However, a change in experience or actuarial assumptions could nonetheless materially affect results of operations in a particular period. Known amounts for claims that are in the process of being settled, but have been paid in periods subsequent to those being reported, are also recorded in such reporting period. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, MasTec's liability for unpaid claims and associated expenses, including incurred but not reported losses, was \$39 million and \$39.9 million, respectively, of which \$24.2 million was reflected within non-current other liabilities for both periods.

The Company also maintains an insurance policy with respect to employee group health claims subject to per employee deductibles of \$0.4 million.

The Company is periodically required to post letters of credit and provide cash collateral to its insurance carriers. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, such letters of credit amounted to \$64.2 million at the end of both periods, a portion of which is collateralized by \$18.1 million of restricted cash at both June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. In addition, other cash collateral deposited with insurance carriers amounted to \$2.9 million as of the end of both periods, which is included in other assets.

Income taxes. Valuation allowances aggregating \$10.7 million and \$24.2 million have been recorded as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, to reduce certain net deferred federal, foreign and state tax assets to their estimated net realizable value. Management anticipates that the Company will generate sufficient pretax income in the future to realize the deferred tax assets based on continuing operations and feasible tax planning strategies available.

Stock-based compensation. The Company has granted to employees and others restricted stock and options to purchase common stock. Total non-cash stock compensation expense for grants of restricted stock and options was \$1.1 million for both the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, and was \$1.9 million and \$2 million, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008. Non-cash stock compensation expense is included in general and administrative expense in the condensed unaudited consolidated statements of operations.

The Company grants restricted stock, which is valued based on the market price of MasTec's common stock on the date of grant. Compensation expense arising from restricted stock grants with graded vesting is recognized using the ratable method over the vesting period. Those issued with cliff vesting are amortized on a straight line basis over the vesting period. Unearned compensation for performance-based options and restricted stock is shown as a reduction of shareholders' equity in the condensed unaudited consolidated balance sheets. Through June 30, 2009, 1,371,055 shares of restricted stock have been issued with a value of approximately \$12.4 million, which is being expensed over vesting periods ranging from day of issuance to five years. Total unearned compensation related to restricted stock grants as of June 30, 2009 is approximately \$5 million. Restricted stock expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 was approximately \$0.6 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. Restricted stock expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 was approximately \$0.5 million and \$0.8 million, respectively.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, there were no stock options granted. For stock options granted in prior periods, the Black-Scholes valuation model was used to estimate the fair value of options to purchase MasTec's common stock, and MasTec used the ratable method (an accelerated method of expense recognition under SFAS No. 123R, "Share-based Payment") to amortize compensation expense over the vesting period of the option grant.

Recent accounting pronouncements. There have been no developments to recently issued accounting standards, including the expected dates of adoption and estimated effects on the Company's consolidated financial statements, from those disclosed in the Company's 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K, except for the following.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 141(R)-1, "*Accounting for Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed in a Business Combination That Arise from Contingencies*" (FSP FAS 141(R)-1), to amend SFAS 141 (revised 2007) "*Business Combinations*." FSP FAS 141(R)-1 addresses the initial recognition, measurement and subsequent accounting for assets and liabilities arising from contingencies in a business combination, and requires that such assets acquired or liabilities assumed be initially recognized at fair value at the acquisition date if fair value can be determined during the measurement period. If the acquisition-date fair value cannot be determined, the asset acquired or liability assumed arising from a contingency is recognized only if certain criteria are met. This FSP also requires that a systematic and rational basis for subsequently measuring and accounting for the assets or liabilities be developed depending on their nature. FSP FAS 141(R)-1 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2008. As the Company did not have any acquisitions during the six months ended June 30, 2009, the adoption of FSP FAS 141(R)-1, effective January 1, 2009, did not have a material impact on the Company's condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-4, "*Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly*" (FSP FAS 157-4), FASB Staff Position No. FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, "*Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*" (FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2), and FASB Staff Position No. FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, "*Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*" (FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1). FSP FAS 157-4 provides additional guidance for estimating fair value in accordance with FASB Statement No. 157, "*Fair Value Measurements*," when the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability have significantly decreased, and also includes guidance on identifying circumstances that indicate a transaction is not orderly. FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2 amend the other-than-temporary impairment guidance in U.S. GAAP for debt securities to make the guidance more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. Under FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2, an impairment is considered to be other-than-temporary if an entity (i) intends to sell the security, (ii) more likely than not will be required to sell the security before recovering its cost, or (iii) does not expect to recover the security's entire amortized cost basis, even if there is no intent to sell the security. In assessing the expectation of recovery, FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2 requires an assessment of the present value of cash flows expected to be collected. If this assessment yields an amount less than the amortized cost basis of the security, even if the entity has the intent, and more likely than not, the ability to hold the securities, a credit loss is deemed to exist, which is considered an other-than-temporary impairment. The amount of an other-than-temporary impairment attributable to credit losses is recognized in earnings, while the amount of an other-than-temporary impairment related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income.

FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 amends FASB Statement No. 107, "*Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*" to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements. This FSP also amends APB Opinion No. 28, "*Interim Financial Reporting*," to require those disclosures in summarized financial information at interim reporting periods. These FSPs are effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. While these FSPs are applicable to the Company's securities available for sale, the adoption of these FSPs for the period ended June 30, 2009 did not have a material impact on the Company's condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements. See Note 6 – Securities Available for Sale.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, "*Subsequent Events*." This standard is intended to establish general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. Specifically, this standard sets forth the period after the balance sheet date during which management of a reporting entity should evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, the circumstances under which an entity should recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements, and the disclosures that an entity should make about events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date. SFAS No. 165 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods ended after June 15, 2009 and will be applied prospectively. The adoption of SFAS No. 165 for the period ended June 30, 2009 did not have a material impact on the Company's condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 166, *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets, an amendment to SFAS No. 140*. The new standard eliminates the concept of a “qualifying special-purpose entity,” changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets, and requires additional disclosures in order to enhance information reported to users of financial statements by providing greater transparency about transfers of financial assets, including securitization transactions, and an entity’s continuing involvement in and exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. SFAS No. 166 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. The Company does not anticipate that the adoption of SFAS 166 will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, “*Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)*” (SFAS 167). SFAS 167 amends FASB Interpretation No. 46(R), “*Variable Interest Entities*” for determining whether an entity is a variable interest entity (VIE) and requires an enterprise to perform an analysis to determine whether the enterprise’s variable interest or interests give it a controlling financial interest in a VIE. Under SFAS 167, an enterprise has a controlling financial interest when it has a) the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the entity’s economic performance and b) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE. SFAS 167 also requires an enterprise to assess whether it has an implicit financial responsibility to ensure that a VIE operates as designed when determining whether it has power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the entity’s economic performance. SFAS 167 also requires ongoing assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE, requires enhanced disclosures and eliminates the scope exclusion for qualifying special-purpose entities. SFAS 167 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. The Company does not anticipate that the adoption of SFAS 167 will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*. This standard replaces SFAS No. 162, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*, and establishes only two levels of U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), authoritative and nonauthoritative. The FASB Accounting Standards Codification (the Codification) will become the source of authoritative, nongovernmental GAAP, except for rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), which are sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. All other nongrandfathered, non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification will become nonauthoritative. This standard is effective for financial statements for interim or annual reporting periods ending after September 15, 2009. We will begin to use the new guidelines and numbering system prescribed by the Codification when referring to GAAP in the third quarter of 2009. As the Codification was not intended to change or alter existing GAAP, it will not have any impact on our condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Note 4 – Earnings Per Share

MasTec presents earnings per share in accordance with SFAS No. 128, “*Earnings Per Share*” (SFAS No. 128). Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing earnings available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if stock options and unvested restricted stock (“common stock equivalents”), or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock that then shared in the earnings of MasTec. In accordance with SFAS No. 128, the computation of the diluted earnings per share for the three months ended June 30, 2009 included the effect of both the 8% convertible notes and the 4% senior convertible notes for the period they were outstanding. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, the computation of diluted earnings per share included the effect of the 4% senior convertible notes for the period they were outstanding, but did not include the effect of 8% convertible notes because the effect was antidilutive.

MasTec, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

The following table represents a reconciliation of the income from continuing operations, net income and weighted average shares outstanding for the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Net income (basic) | \$18,991 | \$15,736 | \$30,920 | \$23,517 |
| Loss from discontinued operations | — | 85 | — | 240 |
| Net income from continuing operations (basic) | <u>\$18,991</u> | <u>\$15,821</u> | <u>\$30,920</u> | <u>\$23,757</u> |
| Interest expense on 8% convertible notes, net of tax | 796 | — | — | — |
| Interest expense on 4% senior convertible notes, net of tax | 315 | — | 315 | — |
| Net income from continuing operations (diluted) | <u>\$20,102</u> | <u>\$15,821</u> | <u>\$31,235</u> | <u>\$23,757</u> |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding (basic) | 75,662 | 67,207 | 75,604 | 67,197 |
| Effect of dilutive common stock equivalents | 1,150 | 975 | 1,085 | 926 |
| Effect of dilutive 8% convertible notes | 3,324 | — | — | — |
| Effect of dilutive 4% senior convertible notes | 1,827 | — | 918 | — |
| Weighted average shares outstanding (diluted) | <u>81,963</u> | <u>68,182</u> | <u>77,607</u> | <u>68,123</u> |

Earnings per share is as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|------------------------------|---------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Basic: | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.41 | \$ 0.35 |
| Net income from continuing operations | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.41 | \$ 0.35 |
| Diluted: | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.35 |
| Net income from continuing operations | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.35 |

Note 5 – Acquisitions

Wanzek

Wanzek, headquartered in Fargo, North Dakota, has been in business more than 37 years and manages a team of highly-skilled workers and tradesmen who are deployed throughout the country and who are capable of working under extreme weather conditions. Wanzek currently derives a significant portion of its revenue from wind farm construction and maintains a fleet of heavy equipment, including a number of specialized heavy cranes, a critical component for the successful erection of wind turbine towers. With the acquisition of Wanzek, MasTec is capable of providing end-to-end construction services to wind farm owners and developers. The Wanzek acquisition complements MasTec's existing expertise and contracts in the construction of the electrical collection systems, substations and transmission lines necessary to connect energy from wind farms to the power grid. Wanzek brings additional experience and capabilities to MasTec in the construction of natural gas processing plants and compression stations, and other heavy/civil and industrial process construction.

In December 2008, MasTec purchased all of the issued and outstanding shares of Wanzek Construction, Inc., ("Wanzek") for: (i) \$50 million in cash, (ii) 7.5 million shares of MasTec common stock, (iii) an 8% convertible note in the principal amount of \$55 million due December 2013 with interest payments payable in April, August, and December of each year, commencing in April

MasTec, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

2009 (the “Wanzek convertible notes”), (iv) the assumption of up to \$15 million of Wanzek’s debt and (v) a two-year earn-out equal to 50% of Wanzek’s EBITDA over \$40 million per year. The purchase agreement provides for “piggyback” registration rights without penalty or registration payment arrangements. The acquisition is effective as of December 1, 2008, and accordingly, Wanzek’s earnings have been consolidated as of that date.

On June 1, 2009, MasTec entered into a note purchase option agreement with Wanzek which allowed the Company to repurchase all, or part of, the Wanzek convertible notes at any time on or prior to July 31, 2009 for the principal amount thereof plus all accrued interest. Pursuant to the note purchase option agreement, to the extent that on or prior to July 31, 2009, MasTec closed an offering with net proceeds in excess of the principal amount of the Wanzek convertible notes plus all interest accrued thereon, the Company was required to exercise its option to repurchase all of the Wanzek convertibles notes. Accordingly, as \$115 million of 4% senior convertible notes were issued in June 2009, the Company was required to use such proceeds to repurchase the \$55 million Wanzek convertible notes plus approximately \$2 million of accrued interest.

In connection with the note purchase option agreement, the Company also agreed to remove the six-month transfer restrictions that would have otherwise been applicable to the 7.5 million shares of MasTec common stock issued to the sellers of Wanzek in connection with the acquisition and allowed the sellers of Wanzek to participate in a secondary offering of a total of 5.175 million shares of MasTec common stock. The common stock offering closed in June 2009, at a price of \$12.125 per share. The Company did not receive any proceeds from the sale of the common stock, but did pay certain offering expenses of approximately \$0.2 million on behalf of the selling shareholders, which were recorded as additional goodwill.

Additionally, during June 2009, MasTec paid to the sellers of Wanzek \$2.3 million as a purchase price adjustment, which was based on Wanzek’s final net working capital as of the effective closing date as prescribed in the purchase agreement. This purchase price adjustment was recorded as additional goodwill.

The allocation of purchase price to the fair value of the tangible and intangible assets and the useful lives of these assets remains preliminary as management continues to assess the valuation of the acquired assets and liabilities and any ultimate purchase price adjustments based on the purchase agreement.

The portion of intangible assets related to existing customer contracts is amortized over the remaining term of these contracts. The remaining portion of the intangible assets related to customer relationships is amortized on an accelerated basis in relation to the benefits received over its weighted average ten-year useful life. The intangible assets related to the non-compete agreement with the seller is being amortized over its useful life of three years, and the Wanzek trade name has an indefinite life.

As of June 30, 2009, Wanzek had goodwill of \$91.3 million, which is not deductible for income tax purposes.

Funraisers

On October 1, 2008, MasTec acquired from Red Ventures LLC, (the “Seller”), the same seller that sold DirectStar TV LLC (“DirectStar”) to MasTec, 100% of the membership interests of Funraisers PR, LLC (“Funraisers”), a company engaged in a start-up business that provides marketing, advertising, promotion, and sales activities for internet data delivery service by satellite and certain other broadband companies. DirectStar, together with its subsidiaries, including Funraisers, is referred to as the “DirectStar Business.” Funraisers earnings have been consolidated since the date of acquisition.

Although MasTec did not pay any upfront consideration for Funraisers at the closing, in connection with the transaction, MasTec has agreed to increase the earn-out payable with respect to DirectStar until December 31, 2018. Additionally, the Seller has an option to purchase DirectStar Business back from MasTec. This option is exercisable from January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2013 for an amount determined, in part, on the earnings of DirectStar for the trailing twelve months preceding the exercise date multiplied by a multiple to be determined in part on MasTec’s EBITDA multiple minus a factor representing the remaining earn-out payments under the purchase agreements subject to a floor and cap as set forth in the agreement. This option has an estimated fair value of \$1 million at the date of acquisition, resulting in acquired goodwill of \$1.2 million. The allocation of purchase price to the fair value of net assets acquired remains preliminary.

As of June 30, 2009, Funraisers had tax deductible goodwill of \$3.4 million.

MasTec, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Nsoro

On July 31, 2008, MasTec purchased certain assets of Nsoro, LLC (“Nsoro”) for a purchase price of \$17.5 million, paid in cash at closing, plus the assumption of approximately \$12 million in indebtedness and earn-out payments payable over an eight-year period equal to 50% of Nsoro’s earnings before taxes above certain minimum thresholds. The earn-out is payable in cash and, in certain circumstances, MasTec common stock or a combination thereof at the Company’s discretion. Nsoro is headquartered in Atlanta, Georgia and specializes in wireless infrastructure management and construction. The final purchase price is subject to adjustment based on minimum and maximum tangible net worth, total assets and net working capital thresholds still to be determined.

The allocation of purchase price to the fair value of the tangible and intangible assets and the useful lives of these assets remain preliminary as management continues to assess the valuation of the acquired assets and liabilities including the value of work in process, costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings and billings in excess of cost accounts. Additionally, continuing analysis of these accounts has resulted in reclassifying entries to gross-up amounts previously netted. Revised estimates in the valuation of acquired assets and liabilities since the initial purchase price allocation have resulted in changes to goodwill. The final purchase price remains subject to adjustment based on the metrics described above based on the final valuation of the net assets acquired and may result in further revisions to the goodwill balance.

The purchase price to acquire Nsoro including transaction costs has been allocated on a preliminary basis to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the effective date of the acquisition based on estimated fair values, as summarized below.

| | |
|---------------------------|------------------|
| Current assets | \$ 82,653 |
| Property and equipment | 762 |
| Customer relationships | 11,100 |
| Trade name | 980 |
| Goodwill | 2,590 |
| Other long-term assets | 94 |
| Total assets acquired | <u>98,179</u> |
| Current liabilities | (68,019) |
| Debt | <u>(12,164)</u> |
| Total liabilities assumed | <u>(80,183)</u> |
| Net assets acquired | <u>\$ 17,996</u> |

The customer relationship and tradename intangible assets are amortized on a straight-line basis over a 20 year and 5 year life, respectively, consistent with the benefits expected to be received.

In connection with the acquisition of Nsoro, management approved a plan to exit the activities of four Nsoro locations including the termination and/or relocation of the employees at these locations. These exit activities resulted in liabilities assumed of approximately \$0.2 million included in the allocation of purchase price above. These locations were substantially closed at the end of 2008.

As of June 30, 2009, Nsoro had tax deductible goodwill of \$3 million.

Pumpco

In May 2008, MasTec acquired all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of Pumpco, Inc. (“Pumpco”) for a purchase price of \$44 million, paid in cash, plus the retirement and assumption of certain indebtedness and earn-out payments payable over a five-year period equal to 50% of Pumpco’s earnings before taxes above significant specified thresholds. The earn-out is payable in cash and, in certain circumstances, MasTec common stock or a combination thereof. In connection with the acquisition, the Company entered into a \$22.5 million equipment term loan and used the proceeds to pay off \$8.7 million of Pumpco indebtedness with the balance used to pay a portion of the acquisition purchase price. The equipment term loan is secured by most of Pumpco’s existing equipment and guaranteed by MasTec. The acquisition is effective as of May 1, 2008, and, accordingly, Pumpco’s earnings have been consolidated as of that date.

The portion of intangible assets related to existing customer contracts is amortized over the remaining term of these contracts. The remaining portion of the intangible assets related to customer relationships is amortized on an accelerated basis in relation to the benefits received over its ten-year useful life. Intangible assets related to customer contracts and relationships, the non-compete agreement with the seller, and the Pumpco trade name has a weighted average useful life of 13 years.

As of June 30, 2009, Pumpco had goodwill of \$18 million, which is not deductible for income tax purposes.

Note 6 – Securities Available For Sale

The Company's securities available for sale consist of auction rate securities that represent interests in pools of student loans guaranteed by the U.S. government under the Federal Family Education Loan Program and structured finance securities. These structured finance securities are collateralized by investment grade credit-linked notes made up of floating rate international bank notes or credit card receivable notes and credit default swap agreements on corporate debt obligations with remaining terms of 8 to 9 years. Under the terms of the credit default swaps, the principal value of these auction rate securities would be impaired at net default rates on the underlying corporate debt obligations ranging from 8% to 10.75%. All but one of these securities, which had a par value of \$6.2 million and an estimated fair value of \$2.6 million at June 30, 2009, carry investment grade ratings from one or more of the major credit rating agencies. The Company continues to earn and collect interest on these securities, and management expects to recover the entire cost basis of these securities.

Liquidity for these auction-rate securities is typically provided by an auction process that resets the applicable interest rate at pre-determined intervals, usually every 7, 28 or 35 days. In the current period, due to disruptions in the credit markets, these auctions have not had sufficient bidders to allow investors to complete a sale, indicating that immediate liquidity at par is unavailable.

Under FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2, an impairment is considered to be other-than-temporary if an entity (i) intends to sell the security, (ii) more likely than not will be required to sell the security before recovering its cost, or (iii) does not expect to recover the security's entire amortized cost basis, even if there is no intent to sell the security. In assessing the expectation of recovery, FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2 requires an assessment of the present value of cash flows expected to be collected. If this assessment yields an amount less than the amortized cost basis of the security, even if the entity has the intent, and more likely than not, the ability to hold the security, a credit loss is deemed to exist, which is considered an other-than-temporary impairment. The amount of an other-than-temporary impairment attributable to credit losses is recognized in earnings, while the amount of an other-than-temporary impairment related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. Because management expects to recover the entire cost basis of these securities, does not intend to sell these securities and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the securities before recovery of their cost basis, which may be at maturity, the Company does not consider its investment in auction rate securities to have experienced an other-than-temporary decline in value at June 30, 2009. Given the uncertainty as to when, or if, the liquidity issues associated with the auction mechanism related to these investments will improve, management has classified these securities as long-term assets.

As of June 30, 2009, there was insufficient observable market data to determine the fair value of the Company's auction rate securities due to the lack of activity in this market. Therefore, the fair value of these investments was estimated by an independent valuation firm, Houlihan Smith & Company, Inc., using a probability weighted discounted cash flow model. This model incorporates assumptions market participants would use in their estimates of fair value such as reset interest rates, final stated maturities, collateral values, credit quality and insurance, and applies the probabilities of either (a) a successful auction, (b) a failed auction, or (c) a default, at each auction (Level 3 inputs under SFAS 157). This valuation is sensitive to market conditions and management's judgment and can change significantly based on the assumptions used. Factors that may impact the valuation include changes to credit ratings of the securities as well as to the underlying assets supporting the securities, rates of default of the underlying assets, underlying collateral values, discount rates, counterparty risk and ongoing strength and quality of market credit and liquidity.

As of June 30, 2009, the estimated fair value of the securities available for sale totaled \$22.8 million. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company recorded unrealized gains of \$1.8 million and \$2.2 million, respectively, in Other Comprehensive Income, net of the tax impact which is a fully reserved deferred tax asset. Management believes the temporary unrealized decline in estimated fair value of \$10.8 million is primarily attributable to the limited liquidity of these investments and the overall market volatility in the current period.

MasTec, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

SFAS 157 defines three categories for the classification and measurement of assets and liabilities carried at fair value:

Level 1: Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Observable market based inputs or observable inputs that are corroborated by market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs reflecting the reporting entity's own assumptions.

All of the Company's auction rate securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for 12 months or longer as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. The cost basis, gross unrealized losses and estimated fair value, determined using level 3 inputs, for these securities are as follows (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2009 | | | December 31, 2008 | | |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| | Cost Basis | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Cost Basis | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| Auction rate securities - student loans | \$17,450 | \$ (1,216) | \$16,234 | \$17,450 | \$ (1,596) | \$15,854 |
| Auction rate securities - structured finance securities | 16,200 | (9,629) | 6,571 | 16,200 | (11,474) | 4,726 |
| Total auction rate securities | \$33,650 | \$(10,845) | \$22,805 | \$33,650 | \$(13,070) | \$20,580 |

The contractual maturity of the auction rate securities available for sale at June 30, 2009 ranges from 19 to 39 years for student loan auction rate securities and from 8 to 9 years for structured finance auction rate securities.

In July 2008, MasTec filed a claim in arbitration against Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC ("Credit Suisse") in connection with the sale by Credit Suisse to MasTec of certain auction rate securities. See Note 10 – Commitments and Contingencies.

Note 7 – Accounts Receivable, Cost and Earnings in Excess of Billings, and Retainage, Net of Allowance

Accounts receivable, classified as current, consist of the following (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|---|------------------|-------------------|
| Contract billings | \$161,926 | \$ 210,215 |
| Retainage | 28,345 | 29,408 |
| Costs and earnings in excess of billings | 91,242 | 99,405 |
| | 281,513 | 339,028 |
| Less allowance for doubtful accounts | (11,890) | (11,646) |
| Accounts receivable, net | \$269,623 | \$ 327,382 |

Retainage, which has been billed but is not due until completion of performance and acceptance by customers, is expected to be collected within one year. Any receivables, including retainage, expected to be collected beyond a year are recorded in long-term other assets.

MasTec, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Note 8 – Debt

Debt is comprised of the following at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| Revolving credit facility | \$ — | \$ 42,468 |
| 7.625% senior notes due February 2017 | 150,000 | 150,000 |
| 4% senior convertible notes due 2014 | 115,000 | — |
| 8% convertible notes due December 2013 | — | 55,000 |
| 7.05% equipment term loan due in installments through 2013 | 18,274 | 20,243 |
| Capital lease obligations | 9,691 | 11,013 |
| Notes payable for equipment, at interest rates up to 9%, due in installments through the year 2013 | 20,001 | 25,614 |
| Total debt | 312,966 | 304,338 |
| Less current maturities | (15,510) | (16,884) |
| Long-term debt | \$297,456 | \$ 287,454 |

MasTec is in compliance with all debt covenants at June 30, 2009.

Senior Convertible Notes

On June 5, 2009, we issued \$115 million of 4% senior convertible notes due June 15, 2014 (the Senior Convertible Notes) in a registered offering. The Senior Convertible Notes bear interest at a rate of 4% per year, payable semi-annually in arrears, on June 15 and December 15 of each year, commencing on December 15, 2009. On or prior to June 13, 2014, holders may convert their notes into shares of our common stock initially at a conversion rate of 63.4417 shares of our common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, which represents an initial conversion price of approximately \$15.76 per share, subject to customary anti-dilution adjustment terms for these types of notes.

The proceeds of the Senior Convertible Notes were used to pay off the \$55 million 8% convertible notes, convertible at \$12.00 per share, issued in connection with the Wanzek acquisition, as well as the outstanding balance on the Credit Facility of approximately \$20 million on June 17, 2009. We intend to use the remaining net proceeds for working capital, possible acquisitions of businesses and other general corporate purposes. Approximately \$5.1 million in financing costs were incurred in connection with the issuance of these notes.

Each of our subsidiaries that guarantee our 7.625% senior notes due 2017 guarantee the convertible notes. There are no financial covenants on these notes.

Note 9 – Other Current Assets and Liabilities

Prepaid expenses and other current assets as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Non-trade receivables | \$ 5,287 | \$ 6,797 |
| Other receivables | 7,010 | 4,986 |
| Prepaid expenses and deposits | 7,873 | 10,781 |
| Deferred project costs | 1,374 | 2,109 |
| Other | 5,172 | 1,333 |
| Total prepaid expenses and other current assets | \$26,716 | \$ 26,006 |

MasTec, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Other current liabilities as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| Obligations related to acquisitions | \$ 7,254 | \$ 14,701 |
| Accrued amounts related to discontinued operations | 1,291 | 2,018 |
| Accrued losses on contracts | 409 | 3,860 |
| Other | 17,127 | 15,949 |
| Total other current liabilities | <u>\$26,081</u> | <u>\$ 36,528</u> |

Note 10 – Commitments and Contingencies**Legacy Litigation**

MasTec is subject to litigation, primarily dating from the period 2001 through 2005. In March 2007, the United States Army Corps of Engineers (“Corps of Engineers”) brought a complaint in a federal district court against MasTec for environmental violations in connection with a project in Coos County, Oregon and sought damages in excess of \$16 million. The matter went to trial in February 2008, and in February 2009, the Federal District Court entered a judgment in favor of the Corps of Engineers in the amount of \$1.5 million. The Corps of Engineers is appealing the judgment.

MasTec filed a lawsuit against Consolidated Edison, Inc., Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. and Con Edison Communications, Inc. (collectively, “Con Edison”) in May 2002 in connection with a telecommunication project MasTec worked on for Telergy, Inc. (“Telergy”). The lawsuit alleges that Con Edison directly interfered with MasTec’s work for Telergy and that this interference resulted in Telergy’s bankruptcy and resulted in Con Edison obtaining MasTec’s work on the Telergy project without paying for it. MasTec seeks in excess of \$40 million from Con Edison.

MasTec is vigorously pursuing claims in excess of \$5 million against Aon Risk Services, Inc. of Florida, an insurance broker, for breach of contract and breach of fiduciary duty for the losses arising from a denial of insurance coverage. Discovery is ongoing.

MasTec provided telecommunication infrastructure services to Adesta Communications, Inc. (“Adesta”) in 2000 and 2001. Adesta filed for bankruptcy in 2001. Adesta’s bankruptcy trustee sold Adesta’s assets in the trust, and MasTec is waiting for a distribution from that trust. Based on MasTec’s current understanding of the expected distribution, MasTec has reduced its receivable to approximately \$1 million, which is recorded in other current assets on its consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2009.

The labor union representing the workers of Sistemas e Instalaciones de Telecomunicación S.A. (“Sintel”), a former MasTec subsidiary, filed a claim that initiated an investigative action with the Audiencia Nacional, a Spanish federal court, against Telefonica and dozens of other defendants including former officers and directors of MasTec (including a current director) relating to Sintel’s 2000 bankruptcy. The union alleged Sintel and its creditors were damaged in the approximate amount of 300 million euros (\$421 million at June 30, 2009). In December 2007, the Audiencia Nacional decided to close the investigation and issued an order allowing the trial phase against the MasTec defendants to proceed. The order did not name MasTec. In June 2009, the Audiencia Nacional issued an order that the trial phase was commencing against the MasTec defendants and other defendants. The MasTec defendants believe the claims are frivolous and are vigorously defending the matter. MasTec has not been served and will vigorously defend any potential liability. MasTec was not directly involved in any of the transactions which the Spanish prosecutor alleges led to Sintel’s bankruptcy. Our directors’ and officers’ insurance carrier has reimbursed us all of our legal fees to date and agreed to fund legal expenses for the remainder of the litigation under a reservation of rights. The amount of loss to MasTec, if any, relating to this matter cannot presently be determined.

Outstanding Litigation

In July 2008, MasTec filed a claim in arbitration against Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (“Credit Suisse”) with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. (“FINRA”) for negligence, unsuitability, negligent supervision, negligent misrepresentations and omissions of material fact, breach of fiduciary duty, breach of contract and violations of state securities laws in connection with the sale by Credit Suisse to MasTec of certain auction rate securities in the aggregate principal amount of \$33.7 million. MasTec is seeking, among other relief, rescission of the purchase of the auction rate securities. Credit Suisse responded denying MasTec’s claims. MasTec is currently in the discovery stage of this matter. The arbitration is expected to occur in September 2009.

In addition to the matters discussed above, MasTec is also subject to a variety of legal cases, claims and other disputes that arise from time to time in the ordinary course of its business. MasTec cannot provide assurance that it will be successful in recovering all or any of the potential damages it has claimed or in defending claims against it.

Accrued aggregate liabilities related to the matters described above and other litigation matters amounted to \$2 million at June 30, 2009 and \$13.2 million at December 31, 2008.

MasTec, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Other Commitments and Contingencies

MasTec is required to provide payment and performance bonds for some of its contractual commitments related to projects in process. At June 30, 2009, estimated cost to complete projects for which the \$476 million in performance and payment bonds are outstanding was \$58.2 million.

In addition, in connection with the sale of the state Department of Transportation related projects and assets in 2007, MasTec agreed to keep certain liabilities, including the cost to maintain and continue certain performance and payment bonds. At June 30, 2009, \$159.7 million of these performance and payment bonds remained outstanding. MasTec estimates that the remaining cost to complete these state Department of Transportation projects at June 30, 2009 was \$0.9 million.

Note 11 – Concentrations of Risk

The Company is subject to certain risk factors, including, but not limited to risks related to economic downturns in the industries it serves, collectability of receivables, competition within its industry, the nature of its contracts (which do not obligate MasTec's customers to undertake any infrastructure projects and may be canceled on short notice), acquisition integration and financing, seasonality, availability of qualified employees, recoverability of goodwill, and potential exposures to environmental liabilities. The Company has more than 320 customers which include some of the largest and most prominent companies in the communications, utilities and government industries. MasTec's customers include incumbent local exchange carriers, broadband and satellite operators, public and private energy providers, long distance carriers, financial institutions and wireless service providers.

Revenue for customers in these industries is as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|----------------|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Communications | \$226,766 | \$182,249 | \$426,388 | \$364,760 |
| Utilities | 141,470 | 104,668 | 265,238 | 172,039 |
| Government | 19,618 | 18,117 | 38,346 | 30,227 |
| | <u>\$387,854</u> | <u>\$305,034</u> | <u>\$729,972</u> | <u>\$567,026</u> |

The Company grants credit, generally without collateral, to its customers. Consequently, the Company is subject to potential credit risk related to changes in business and economic factors. However, MasTec generally has certain lien rights on that work and concentrations of credit risk are limited due to the diversity of the customer base. The Company believes its billing and collection policies are adequate to minimize potential credit risk. Our top two customers for each of the periods shown are as follows: during the three months ended June 30, 2009, DIRECTV® and AT&T customers each accounted for 30% and 15% of total revenue, respectively; during the six months ended June 30, 2009, DIRECTV® and AT&T customers each accounted for 33% and 13% of total revenue, respectively; during the three months ended June 30, 2008, DIRECTV® and Verizon customers each accounted for 35% and 8% of total revenue, respectively; during the six months ended June 30, 2008, DIRECTV® and Verizon customers each accounted for 40% and 9% of total revenue, respectively.

The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of customers to make required payments. Management analyzes historical bad debt experience, customer concentrations, customer credit-worthiness, the availability of mechanics and other liens, the existence of payment bonds and other sources of payment, and current economic trends when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. If judgments regarding the collectability of accounts receivables were incorrect, adjustments to the allowance may be required, which would reduce profitability. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company had remaining receivables from customers undergoing bankruptcy reorganization totaling \$1.6 million, at the end of each period, of which \$0.6 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, have been recorded as specific reserves. Based on the analytical process described above, management believes that it will recover the net amounts recorded. The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$11.9 million and \$11.6 million as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, for both specific customers and as a reserve against other past due balances. Should additional customers file for bankruptcy or experience difficulties, or should anticipated recoveries in existing bankruptcies and other workout situations fail to materialize, MasTec could experience reduced cash flows and losses in excess of the current allowance.

Note 12 – Related Party Transactions

MasTec purchases, rents and leases equipment used in its business from a number of different vendors, on a non-exclusive basis, including Neff Corp. (“Neff”), in which Jorge Mas, Chairman of MasTec’s Board of Directors, and Jose Mas, MasTec’s President and Chief Executive Officer, were directors and owners of a controlling interest through June 4, 2006. Juan Carlos Mas, the brother of Jorge and Jose Mas, was the Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, a director and a shareholder of Neff until May 31, 2007 when he sold his Neff shares and resigned as its chief executive officer. Juan Carlos Mas remains as chairman of the Neff Board of Directors. During the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, MasTec paid Neff approximately \$208,000 and \$123,000, respectively, and during the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, MasTec paid Neff approximately \$457,000 and \$297,000, respectively for equipment purchases, rentals and leases. MasTec believes the amount paid to Neff is equivalent to the payments that would have been made between unrelated parties for similar transactions acting at arm’s length.

During the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, MasTec paid Irma S. Mas, the mother of Jorge Mas, the Chairman and Jose Mas, the Company’s President and Chief Executive Officer, \$12,000 each quarter for the lease of certain property located in Florida and paid \$24,000 during the six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

During the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, MasTec had an arrangement with a customer whereby it leased employees to that customer and charged approximately \$100,000 each quarter to the customer. Charges to this customer totaled \$174,000 during the six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, an \$829,000 and \$744,000, respectively, receivable is included within other current assets. The Company has an agreement with the customer whereby the Company provides satellite communication services. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, revenues relating to this customer were approximately \$162,000 and \$104,000, respectively, and approximately \$351,000 and \$266,000, respectively. Jorge Mas, Chairman of MasTec’s Board of Directors, and Jose Mas, MasTec’s President and Chief Executive Officer, are minority owners of this customer.

The Company charters aircraft from a third party who leases two of its aircraft from entities in which Jorge Mas, Chairman of MasTec’s Board of Directors, and Jose Mas, MasTec’s President and Chief Executive Officer, have an ownership interest. MasTec paid this unrelated chartering company approximately \$62,000 and \$160,000 during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009, respectively, and \$46,000 and \$66,000 during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008, respectively.

Effective as of August 27, 2002, MasTec and Jorge Mas entered into a split dollar agreement, as subsequently amended, wherein MasTec agreed to pay the premiums due on two life insurance policies with an aggregate face amount of \$50 million. Mr. Mas and his spouse are the insured under the policies. Under the terms of this agreement, MasTec is the sole owner and beneficiary of the policies and is entitled to recover the greater of (i) all premiums it pays on the policies plus interest equal to four percent, compounded annually, or (ii) the aggregate cash value of the life insurance policy immediately before the death of the insureds. The remainder of the policies’ proceeds will be paid in accordance with Mr. Mas’ designations. MasTec will make the premium payments until the agreement is terminated, which occurs upon any of the following events: (i) bankruptcy, or dissolution of MasTec, or (ii) a change of control of MasTec.

Additionally, effective as of September 13, 2002, MasTec and Jorge Mas entered into a second split dollar agreement, as subsequently amended, wherein the Company agreed to pay the premiums due on a life insurance policy with a face amount of \$80 million, \$60 million of which is subject to the agreement and the remaining \$20 million is deemed to be key-man insurance payable to MasTec and falls outside of the agreement. Jorge Mas is the insured under this policy. Under the terms of this agreement, MasTec is the sole owner and beneficiary of the policy and is entitled to recover the greater of (i) all premiums it pays on the portion of the policy subject to the agreement, plus interest equal to four percent, compounded annually, or (ii) the aggregate cash value of the life insurance policy immediately before the death of the insured. The Company will make the premium payments until the agreement is terminated, which occurs upon any of the following events: (i) bankruptcy, or dissolution of MasTec, or (ii) a change of control of MasTec. An amount equal to \$60 million of the policy’s proceeds will be paid in accordance with Jorge Mas’ designations. Any remainder of the proceeds will be paid to the Company. In the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, MasTec paid approximately \$241,600 and \$284,000, respectively, in premiums in connection with the split dollar agreements for Jorge Mas. In the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, MasTec paid approximately \$525,000 and \$568,000, respectively, in premiums in connection with the split dollar agreements for Jorge Mas.

On November 1, 2002, MasTec and Jorge Mas entered into a deferred bonus agreement in which the Company agreed to pay Mr. Mas a bonus in the event that the split dollar agreements Mr. Mas had entered into with MasTec were terminated due to a change of control of MasTec. The amount of the bonus is equal to the total premiums made by MasTec under the terms of the split dollar agreements, plus interest of four percent, compounded annually. The bonus is to be paid within 60 days after termination of the split dollar agreement. The deferred bonus agreement was subsequently amended to comply with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code.

MasTec, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements - continued

Effective as of August 3, 2004, MasTec and Jose Mas entered into a split dollar agreement wherein MasTec agreed to pay premiums on a life insurance policy with an aggregate face amount of \$10 million. Under the terms of the agreement, MasTec is the sole owner and beneficiary of the policy and is entitled to recover the greater of (i) all premiums it pays on the policy plus interest equal to four percent, compounded annually, or (ii) the aggregate cash value of the life insurance policy immediately prior to the death of the survivor of the insured. The remainder of the policy's proceeds will be paid in accordance with Mr. Mas' designations. The Company has agreed to make the premium payments until at least July 15, 2009. In the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, there were no premium payments related to this policy. In the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company paid approximately \$150,000 and \$0, respectively, in premiums in connection with the split dollar agreement for Mr. Jose Mas.

On April 3, 2007, MasTec and Jose Mas entered into a deferred bonus agreement in which MasTec agreed to pay Mr. Mas a bonus in the event the split dollar agreement Mr. Mas had entered into with MasTec was terminated due to a change of control. The amount of the bonus is equal to the total premium payments made by MasTec under the terms of the split dollar agreements, plus interest of four percent, compounded annually. The bonus is to be paid within 60 days after termination of the split dollar agreement.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements are not historical facts but are the intent, belief, or current expectations, of our business and industry, and the assumptions upon which these statements are based. Words such as "anticipates", "expects", "intends", "will", "could", "would", "should", "may", "plans", "believes", "seeks", "estimates" and variations of these words and the negatives thereof and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to risks, uncertainties, and other factors, some of which are beyond our control, are difficult to predict, and could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or forecasted in the forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties include those described in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," and elsewhere in this report and in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, including those described under "Risk Factors" in the Form 10-K as updated by Item 1A "Risk Factors" in this report and other of our SEC filings. Forward-looking statements that were true at the time made may ultimately prove to be incorrect or false. Readers are cautioned to not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which reflect our management's view only as of the date of this report. We undertake no obligation to update or revise forward-looking statements to reflect changed assumptions, the occurrence of unanticipated events or changes to future operating results.

Overview

We are a leading specialty contractor operating mainly throughout the United States and across a range of industries. Our core activities are the building, installation, maintenance and upgrade of utility and communications infrastructure, including but not limited to, electrical utility transmission and distribution, wind farm, other renewable energy and natural gas infrastructure, wireless, wireline and satellite communications and water and sewer systems. Our primary customers are in the following industries: utilities (including wind farms and other renewable energy, natural gas gathering systems and pipeline infrastructure), communications (including telephony, satellite television and cable television) and government (including water and sewer, utilities and communications work on military bases).

In June 2009, MasTec closed an underwritten public offering for \$115 million of 4% senior convertible notes (the "Senior Convertible Notes"), as well as public secondary offering of 5.175 million shares of common stock by certain selling shareholders. The new Senior Convertible Notes are convertible, under certain circumstances, into shares of MasTec common stock at a conversion price of approximately \$15.76 per share of common stock, subject to customary adjustment terms for these types of notes.

The proceeds from the Senior Convertible Notes were used to refinance \$55 million of 8% convertible notes, convertible at \$12.00 per share, issued in conjunction with MasTec's 2008 acquisition of Wanzek Construction, and to pay off the outstanding balance on the Company's Credit Facility of approximately \$20 million on June 17, 2009. The remaining net proceeds will be used for working capital, possible acquisitions of assets and businesses, and for general corporate purposes.

Concurrent with the issuance of the Senior Convertible Notes, certain shareholders offered 5.175 million shares of MasTec common stock in an underwritten registered public offering. All of the shares were offered by Jon Wanzek, founder and CEO of Wanzek Construction, Inc. ("Wanzek Construction"), and his affiliates. Mr. Wanzek and his affiliates received 7.5 million MasTec shares in conjunction with MasTec's 2008 acquisition of Wanzek Construction. After the secondary offering, Mr. Wanzek and his affiliates remain among MasTec's largest shareholders, with ownership of approximately 2.3 million common shares. Mr. Wanzek continues to serve as President of Wanzek Construction under a long-term employment contract. MasTec did not receive any proceeds of the shares of common stock by the selling shareholders.

These transactions enhanced MasTec's balance sheet and capital structure, with approximately \$40 million of increased liquidity at closing, convertible notes with a better conversion premium and an interest rate at 50% of the redeemed issue. After the convertible note transaction, the Company's debt maturities and interest rates include a \$210 million bank credit facility, currently priced at LIBOR plus 250 basis points, due in 2013, \$115 million of 4% convertible notes, due in 2014, and \$150 million of 7.625% senior notes due in 2017.

[Table of Contents](#)

We, or our predecessor companies, have been in business for over 75 years. We offer our services primarily under the MasTec service mark and operate through a network of over 200 locations and approximately 8,131 employees as of June 30, 2009. Providing services to communication and utility industries, as well as government markets, we have consistently ranked among the top specialty contractors by Engineering News-Record.

Our customers include some of the largest communications and utility companies in the United States, including DIRECTV®, Verizon, AT&T, EMBARQ, Progress Energy, Oneok, M.A. Mortenson Co., Dominion Virginia Power, Duke Energy and Sandridge Energy. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, approximately 72% and 71% of our revenues were from our ten largest customers, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, approximately 56% and 62%, respectively, of our revenues were from our ten largest customers. We have longstanding relationships with many customers and often provide services under multi-year master service agreements and other service agreements.

DIRECTV® represents 30% and 35% of our total consolidated revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and 33% and 40% for the six months period ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Our relationship with DIRECTV® is based upon two agreements to provide installation and maintenance services for DIRECTV® customers and, in support of the installation business, to provide marketing and sales services on behalf of DIRECTV®.

AT&T represents 15% and 6% of our total consolidated revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and 13% and 6% for the six months period ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Our relationship with AT&T is primarily based upon master service agreements, other service agreements and construction/installation contracts for both AT&T's wireline and wireless infrastructure.

Although our revenue for the first half of 2009 was up sharply from the prior year, it was nevertheless negatively impacted by the weak state of the U.S. economy and the resulting delay in expenditures by our customers. As a result, we have not yet seen the full anticipated impact of our diversification strategy. We are uncertain as to when the governmental stimulus initiatives will begin to have a noticeable impact on the industries we serve, however, we do anticipate increased capital spending on infrastructure in the future, particularly in 2010 and subsequent years.

We recognize that we and our customers are operating in a challenging business environment in light of the economic downturn and weak capital markets. We are closely monitoring our customers and the effect that changes in economic and market conditions may have on them. Certain of our customers have reduced spending in the first half of 2009 in part due to the negative economic and market conditions, and we anticipate that these negative conditions will continue to affect demand for some of our services in the near-term. However, we believe that most of our customers remain financially stable in general and will be able to continue with their business plans in the long-term without substantial constraints.

Revenue

We provide services to our customers which are companies in the communications and utilities industries, as well as government customers.

Revenue for customers in these industries is as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------------|------|------------------|------|---------------------------|------|------------------|------|
| | 2009 | | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2008 | |
| Communications | \$226,766 | 58% | \$182,249 | 60% | \$426,388 | 58% | \$364,760 | 64% |
| Utilities | 141,470 | 37% | 104,668 | 34% | 265,238 | 36% | 172,039 | 30% |
| Government | 19,618 | 5% | 18,117 | 6% | 38,346 | 6% | 30,227 | 6% |
| | <u>\$387,854</u> | 100% | <u>\$305,034</u> | 100% | <u>\$729,972</u> | 100% | <u>\$567,026</u> | 100% |

A significant portion of our revenue is derived from projects performed under service agreements. We also provide services under master service agreements which are generally multi-year agreements. Certain of our master service agreements are exclusive up to a specified dollar amount per work order for each defined geographic area, but do not obligate our customers to undertake any infrastructure projects or other work with us. Work performed under master service and other service agreements is typically generated through work orders, each of which is performed for a fixed fee. The majority of these services typically are of a maintenance nature and, to a lesser extent, upgrade services. These master service agreements and other service agreements are frequently awarded on a competitive bid basis, although customers are sometimes willing to negotiate contract extensions beyond their original terms without re-bidding. Our master service agreements and other service agreements have various terms, depending upon the nature of the services provided and are typically subject to termination on short notice.

[Table of Contents](#)

The remainder of our work is generated pursuant to contracts for specific installation/construction projects or jobs that may require the construction and installation of an entire infrastructure system or specified units within an infrastructure system. Customers are billed with varying frequency: weekly, monthly or upon attaining specific milestones. Such contracts generally include retainage provisions under which 2% to 15% of the contract price is withheld from us until the work has been completed and accepted by the customer.

Revenue by type of contract is as follows (in thousands):

| | <u>Three Months Ended June 30,</u> | | | | <u>Six Months Ended June 30,</u> | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|------|------------------|------|----------------------------------|------|------------------|------|
| | <u>2009</u> | | <u>2008</u> | | <u>2009</u> | | <u>2008</u> | |
| Master service and other service agreements | \$225,961 | 58% | \$185,079 | 61% | \$432,160 | 59% | \$378,246 | 67% |
| Installation/construction projects agreements | 161,893 | 42% | 119,955 | 39% | 297,812 | 41% | 188,780 | 33% |
| | <u>\$387,854</u> | 100% | <u>\$305,034</u> | 100% | <u>\$729,972</u> | 100% | <u>\$567,026</u> | 100% |

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the amounts reported in our financial statements and the accompanying notes. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, allowance for doubtful accounts, intangible assets, reserves and accruals, impairment of assets, income taxes, insurance reserves and litigation and contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. As management estimates, by their nature, involve judgment regarding future uncertainties, actual results may differ materially from these estimates. Refer to Note 3 to our condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and to our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information regarding our critical accounting policies and estimates.

Litigation and Contingencies

Litigation and contingencies are reflected in our condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements based on our assessments of the expected outcome. If the final outcome of any litigation or contingencies differs significantly from our current expectations, a charge to earnings could result. See Note 10 to our condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-Q for updates to our description of legal proceedings and commitments and contingencies.

Results of Operations

Comparison of Quarterly Results

The following table reflects our consolidated results of operations in dollar (in thousands) and percentage of revenue terms for the periods indicated. Our consolidated results of operations are not necessarily comparable from period to period due to the impact of recent acquisitions.

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2008 | |
| Revenue | \$387,854 | 100.0% | \$305,034 | 100.0% | \$729,972 | 100.0% | \$567,026 | 100.0% |
| Costs of revenue, excluding depreciation and amortization | 328,047 | 84.6% | 259,561 | 85.1% | 618,973 | 84.8% | 486,405 | 85.8% |
| Depreciation and amortization | 10,744 | 2.8% | 6,579 | 2.1% | 21,386 | 2.9% | 11,606 | 2.0% |
| General and administrative expenses | 24,654 | 6.4% | 19,404 | 6.4% | 47,909 | 6.6% | 39,211 | 6.9% |
| Interest expense, net of interest income | 5,780 | 1.5% | 3,656 | 1.2% | 11,543 | 1.6% | 6,152 | 1.1% |
| Other (income) expense, net | (745) | (0.2)% | (394) | (0.1)% | (1,243) | (0.2)% | (545) | (0.1)% |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | 19,374 | 5.0% | 16,228 | 5.3% | 31,404 | 4.3% | 24,197 | 4.3% |
| Income taxes | 383 | 0.1% | 407 | 0.1% | 484 | 0.1% | 440 | 0.1% |
| Income from continuing operations | 18,991 | 4.9% | 15,821 | 5.2% | 30,920 | 4.2% | 23,757 | 4.2% |
| Loss from discontinued operations | — | 0.0% | (85) | (0.0)% | — | 0.0% | (240) | (0.0)% |
| Net income | <u>\$ 18,991</u> | <u>4.9%</u> | <u>\$ 15,736</u> | <u>5.2%</u> | <u>\$ 30,920</u> | <u>4.2%</u> | <u>\$ 23,517</u> | <u>4.2%</u> |

Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2008

Revenue. Our revenue was \$387.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$305 million for the same period in 2008, representing an increase of \$82.8 million or 27.2%. This increase was related to a revenue increase of approximately \$106.5 million from new business activities, primarily acquisition related, partially offset by the negative impact on revenue primarily due to tightened capital expenditures by our customers and slower developing business resulting from the U.S. economy, the slow pace of stimulus bill project funding, tight credit markets and reduced bid awards. Second quarter revenue does not reflect any impact that may be created in the marketplace by the federal and state stimulus initiatives.

Costs of Revenue. Our costs of revenue were \$328 million or 84.6% of revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$259.6 million or 85.1% of revenue for the corresponding period in 2008, a \$68.4 million increase or 26.4%. The increase is attributable to a \$93.1 million increase in costs of revenue incurred in new business activities, primarily acquisition related, partially offset by a 9.5% decrease in costs of revenue on historical businesses associated with lower revenues. As a percentage of revenue, costs of revenue improved 50 basis points, reflecting productivity gains in a number of operating expense categories, plus lower fuel costs, offset by higher requirements for labor and materials.

Depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization was \$10.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$6.6 million for the same period in 2008, representing an increase of \$4.1 million or 62.1%. The increase was due to new business activities, primarily acquisition related, which resulted in the addition of \$1.8 million in depreciation and \$1.6 million in amortization.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses were \$24.7 million or 6.4% of revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$19.4 million or 6.4% of revenue for the same period in 2008, representing an increase of \$5.3 million. The increase was primarily due to a \$5.2 million increase in labor and information technology costs to support new business activities, primarily acquisition related.

Interest expense, net. Interest expense, net of interest income was \$5.8 million or 1.5% of revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$3.7 million or 1.2% of revenue for the same period in 2008, representing an increase of approximately \$2.1 million. This increase is primarily due to interest expense on the \$55 million 8% convertible notes issued in connection with the Wanzek acquisition and on the \$115 million 4% senior convertible notes issued in June 2009, as well as interest on the revolving Credit Facility related to outstanding draws since December 2008 in connection with the Wanzek acquisition. Interest expense, net, also increased as a result of reduced interest income due to lower interest rates and lower cash balances.

[Table of Contents](#)

Other income, net. Other income, net, was \$0.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$0.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, representing an increase of \$0.3 million, primarily due to higher gains on sales of property and equipment.

Income taxes. Income taxes were flat at \$0.4 million for both the three months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2008

Revenue. Our revenue was \$730 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$567 million for the same period in 2008, representing an increase of \$163 million or 28.7%. This increase was related to a revenue increase of approximately \$190 million from new business activities, primarily acquisition related, partially offset by the negative impact on revenue primarily due to tightened capital expenditures by our customers and slower developing business resulting from the U.S. economy, the slow pace of stimulus bill project funding, tight credit markets and reduced bid awards. First half revenues do not reflect any impact that may be created in the marketplace by the federal and state stimulus initiatives.

Costs of Revenue. Our costs of revenue were \$619 million or 84.8% of revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$486.4 million or 85.8% of revenue for the corresponding period in 2008, a \$132.6 million increase or 27.2%. The increase is attributable to a \$162.8 million increase in costs of revenue incurred in new business activities, primarily acquisition related, partially offset by a 6.4% decrease in costs of revenue on historical businesses associated with lower revenues. As a percentage of revenue, costs of revenue improved 100 basis points, reflecting productivity gains in a number of operating expense categories, plus lower fuel costs, offset by higher requirements for labor and materials.

Depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization was \$21.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$11.6 million for the same period in 2008, representing an increase of \$9.8 million or 84.5%. The increase was due to new business activities, primarily acquisition related, which resulted in the addition of \$4.9 million in depreciation and \$3.8 million in amortization.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses were \$47.9 million or 6.6% of revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$39.2 million or 6.9% of revenue for the same period in 2008, representing an increase of \$8.7 million but a decrease as a percentage of revenue of 30 basis points. The increase was primarily due to a \$9.9 million increase in labor and information technology costs to support new business activities, primarily acquisition related, offset by a \$3 million reduction in legal expenses.

Interest expense, net. Interest expense, net of interest income was \$11.5 million or 1.6% of revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$6.2 million or 1.1% of revenue for the same period in 2008, representing an increase of approximately \$5.3 million. This increase is primarily due to interest expense on the \$55 million 8% convertible notes issued in connection with the Wanzek acquisition and on the \$115 million 4% senior convertible notes issued in June 2009, as well as interest on the revolving Credit Facility related to outstanding draws since December 2008 in connection with the Wanzek acquisition. Interest expense, net, also increased as a result of reduced interest income due to lower interest rates and lower cash balances.

Other income, net. Other income, net was \$1.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$0.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008, representing an increase of \$0.7 million, primarily due to higher gains on sale of property and equipment.

Income taxes. Income taxes were generally flat at \$0.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$0.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008.

Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary sources of liquidity are cash flows from continuing operations, availability under our Credit Facility and our cash balances. Our primary liquidity needs are for working capital, capital expenditures, insurance collateral in the form of cash and

[Table of Contents](#)

letters of credit, earn out obligations and debt service. We estimate that we will spend between \$20 million and \$29 million this year on capital expenditures. The nature of our business is equipment intensive, and actual capital expenditures can increase or decrease from estimates depending upon business activity levels. We will continue to evaluate lease versus buy decisions to meet our equipment needs and based on this evaluation, our capital expenditures may increase or decrease from this estimate in the future. We expect to continue to sell older vehicles and equipment as we upgrade to new equipment, and we expect to generate proceeds from these sales. Additionally, we have made certain acquisitions and have agreed to pay earn-out payments to certain of the sellers, generally based on the future performance of the investment or acquired business. Certain of these earn-out payments may be made in either cash or, under certain circumstances, MasTec common stock at our option. During the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, we made cash payments of \$7.5 million and \$0, respectively, related to such earn-out obligations. During the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, we made cash payments of \$14 million and \$5.7 million, respectively, related to such earn-out obligations.

We need working capital to support seasonal variations in our business, primarily due to the impact of weather conditions on external construction and maintenance work, including storm restoration work, and the corresponding spending by customers on their annual capital expenditure budgets. Our business is typically slower in the first and fourth quarters of each calendar year and stronger in the second and third quarters. Accordingly, we generally experience seasonal working capital needs from approximately April through September to support growth in unbilled revenue and accounts receivable, and to a lesser extent, inventory. Our billing terms are generally net 30 to 60 days, and some of our contracts allow our customers to retain a portion (from 2% to 15%) of the contract amount until the job is completed. We maintain inventory to meet the material requirements of certain of our contracts. Certain of our customers pay us in advance for a portion of the materials we purchase for their projects, or allow us to pre-bill them for materials purchases up to specified amounts. Our vendors generally offer us terms ranging from 30 to 90 days. Our agreements with subcontractors often contain a “pay-when-paid” provision, whereby our payments to subcontractors are made only after we are paid by our customers.

The slow economy and general lack of credit have not had a significant impact on our overall financial position, results of operations or cash flows as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2009, although certain of our businesses have been negatively impacted by the weak state of the U.S. economy and the resulting delay in expenditures by our customers. Given the generally good credit quality of our customer base, we do not expect a collections issue that would impact our liquidity in the foreseeable future. As a result of our current capital structure, including our Credit Facility, we do not anticipate the current restricted credit markets will impact our liquidity. We anticipate that funds generated from continuing operations, borrowings under our Credit Facility and our cash balances will be sufficient to meet our working capital requirements, anticipated capital expenditures, insurance collateral requirements, earn-out obligations, letters of credit and debt service obligations for at least the next twelve months.

Sources and Uses of Cash

As of June 30, 2009, we had \$168.1 million in working capital, defined as current assets less current liabilities, compared to \$105.3 million as of December 31, 2008. Cash and cash equivalents, including approximately \$18.1 million of restricted cash, increased by \$31.1 million from \$47.3 million at December 31, 2008 to \$78.4 million at June 30, 2009. Restricted cash related to collateral for certain letters of credit is invested in certificates of deposit with a maturity of 90 days.

Sources and uses of cash are summarized below (in millions):

| | For the Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 52.4 | \$ 13.9 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (26.5) | (60.8) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 5.2 | 19.6 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | \$ 31.1 | \$ (27.3) |

Net cash provided by operating activities increased by \$38.5 million to \$52.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared with the same period in 2008 as net income adjusted for non-cash items, such as higher depreciation and amortization, improved by \$18.1 million and net collections of accounts receivable, unbilled revenue and retainage, net, increased by \$85.8 million, in part due to lower revenues in the first half of 2009 as compared with the second half of 2008, adjusted for acquisitions, as a result of seasonality and the impact of the weak state of the U.S. economy. These cash flows were partially offset by a decrease in billed but unearned revenues of \$38.7 million as well as an increase in payments of other liabilities, including approximately \$11.1 million related to the resolution of legacy litigation.

[Table of Contents](#)

Net cash used in investing activities decreased by \$34.3 million to \$26.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared with the same period in 2008. The decrease was driven by a decrease of \$40.4 million in cash used in connection with acquisitions as compared with the six months ended June 30, 2008, during which the acquisition of Pumpco was completed. (See Note 4 in the notes to the condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements.)

Net cash used in financing activities decreased by \$14.4 million to \$5.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared with the same period in 2008. The decrease in net cash used in financing activities was driven primarily by the issuance of \$115 million senior convertible notes in June 2009, offset by repayments of the \$55 million Wanzek convertible notes and net repayments of borrowings under the Credit Facility of approximately \$42.5 million.

Auction Rate Securities

The Company's securities available for sale consist of auction rate securities that represent interests in pools of student loans guaranteed by the U.S. government under the Federal Family Education Loan Program and structured finance securities. All but one of these securities, which has a par value of \$6.2 million and estimated fair value of \$2.6 million at June 30, 2009, carry investment grade ratings from one or more of the major credit rating agencies. These structured finance securities are collateralized by credit-linked notes made up of investment grade floating rate international bank notes or credit card receivable notes and credit default swap agreements on corporate debt obligations with remaining terms of 8 to 9 years. Under the terms of these credit default swaps, the principal value of these auction rate securities would be impaired at net default rates of the underlying corporate debt obligations ranging from 8% to 10.75%.

Liquidity for these auction-rate securities is typically provided by an auction process that resets the applicable interest rate at pre-determined intervals, usually every 7, 28 or 35 days. Due to disruptions in the credit markets, these auctions have not had sufficient bidders to allow investors to complete a sale, indicating that immediate liquidity at par is unavailable.

Under FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2, an impairment is considered to be other-than-temporary if an entity (i) intends to sell the security, (ii) more likely than not will be required to sell the security before recovering its cost, or (iii) does not expect to recover the security's entire amortized cost basis, even if there is no intent to sell the security. In assessing the expectation of recovery, FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2 requires an assessment of the present value of cash flows expected to be collected. If this assessment yields an amount less than the amortized cost basis of the security, even if the entity has the intent, and more likely than not, the ability to hold the securities, a credit loss is deemed to exist, which is considered an other-than-temporary impairment. The amount of an other-than-temporary impairment attributable to credit losses is recognized in earnings, while the amount of an other-than-temporary impairment related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. Because management expects to recover the entire cost basis of the securities, does not intend to sell these securities, and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the securities before recovery of their cost basis, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider its investment in auction rate securities to have experienced an other-than-temporary decline in value at June 30, 2009. Given the uncertainty as to when the liquidity issues associated with the auction process will improve, management has classified these securities as long-term assets.

As of June 30, 2009, we hold \$33.7 million in par value of these auction rate securities, with an estimated fair value and carrying value of \$22.8 million, net of a \$10.8 million unrealized loss. While the investments are generally of a high credit quality, at this time we are uncertain when the liquidity issues associated with the auction process will improve or whether we will be able to exit these investments at their par value at a date other than maturity. In addition, if there were events of default on the underlying portfolio of the credit default swaps that exceeded the stated net default rates, which range from 8% to 10.75%, we could suffer a loss on some or all of the principal value of these corporate debt obligation auction rate securities. We anticipate holding these securities until we can realize their par value and believe our existing cash resources will be sufficient to meet our anticipated needs for working capital and capital expenditures to execute our current business plan. We continue to monitor this situation. See Note 6 – Securities Available for Sale and Note 10 – Commitments and Contingencies in the Notes to the Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

Credit Facility

On June 1, 2009, we entered into an amendment to our amended and restated senior secured credit facility, expiring May 10, 2013, which we refer to as our Credit Facility. The amendment provided the Company with the ability to refinance the \$55 million 8% Wanzek convertible notes with the proceeds of the \$115 million 4% senior convertible notes issued in June 2009. Pursuant to the amendment, the unused line fee for our Credit Facility has been increased to a range between 0.5% to 0.75% per annum based on usage.

We amended and restated our Credit Facility effective July 29, 2008. Pursuant to the amendment, the Credit Facility has a maximum amount of available borrowing of \$210 million, subject to certain restrictions. The maximum available borrowing may be increased to \$260 million if certain conditions are met.

The amount that we can borrow at any given time under the Credit Facility is based upon a formula that takes into account, among other things, eligible billed and unbilled accounts receivable, equipment, real estate and eligible cash collateral, which can result in borrowing availability of less than the full amount of the Credit Facility. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, net availability under the Credit Facility totaled \$96.4 million and \$82.2 million, respectively, net of outstanding standby letters of credit aggregating \$81.1 million and \$82.4 million in each period, respectively. These letters of credit mature at various dates and most have automatic renewal provisions subject to prior notice of cancellation. The Credit Facility is collateralized by a first priority security interest in substantially all of our assets and the assets of our wholly-owned subsidiaries and a pledge of the stock of certain of our operating subsidiaries. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, our outstanding balances under the Credit Facility were \$0 and \$42.5 million, respectively. Interest under the Credit Facility accrues at variable rates based, at our option, on the agent bank's base rate (as defined in the Credit Facility) plus a margin of between 1.25% and 1.75%, or at the LIBOR rate plus a margin of between 2% and 3%, depending on certain financial thresholds. At June 30, 2009, the margin over LIBOR is 2.5% and the margin over the base rate was 1.25%.

The Credit Facility contains customary events of default (including cross-default) provisions and covenants related to our operations that prohibit, among other things, making investments and acquisitions in excess of specified amounts, incurring additional indebtedness in excess of specified amounts, creating liens against our assets, prepaying other indebtedness excluding our 7.625% senior notes and engaging in certain mergers or combinations without the prior written consent of the lenders. The Credit Facility also limits our ability to make certain distributions or pay dividends. In addition, we are required to maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.2 to 1.0, as defined in the Credit Facility. Any deterioration in the quality of billed and unbilled receivables, reduction in the value of our equipment or an increase in our lease expense related to real estate, would reduce availability under the Credit Facility. At June 30, 2009, we were in compliance with all provisions and covenants of the Credit Facility.

Based upon the current availability under our Credit Facility, liquidity and projections for 2009, we believe we will be in compliance with the Credit Facility's terms and conditions and the minimum availability requirements throughout 2009. We are dependent upon borrowings and letters of credit under this Credit Facility to fund operations. Should we be unable to comply with the terms and conditions of the Credit Facility, we would be required to obtain modifications to the Credit Facility or another source of financing to continue to operate. We may not be able to achieve our 2009 projections, however, we do not expect this to adversely affect our ability to remain in compliance with the Credit Facility's minimum net availability requirements and minimum fixed charge coverage ratio for at least the next twelve months.

Senior Convertible Notes

On June 5, 2009, we issued \$115 million of 4% senior convertible notes due June 15, 2014 (the Senior Convertible Notes) in a registered offering. The Senior Convertible Notes bear interest at a rate of 4% per year, payable semi-annually in arrears, on June 15 and December 15 of each year, commencing on December 15, 2009. On or prior to June 13, 2014, holders may convert their notes into shares of our common stock initially at a conversion rate of 63.4417 shares of our common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, which represents an initial conversion price of approximately \$15.76 per share, subject to customary anti-dilution adjustment terms for these types of notes.

The proceeds of the Senior Convertible Notes were used to pay off the \$55 million 8% convertible notes, convertible at \$12.00 per share, issued in connection with the Wanzek acquisition, as well as the outstanding balance on the Credit Facility of approximately \$20 million on June 17, 2009. We intend to use the remaining net proceeds for working capital, possible acquisitions of businesses and other general corporate purposes. Approximately \$5.1 million in financing costs were incurred in connection with the issuance of these notes.

[Table of Contents](#)

Each of our subsidiaries that guarantee our 7.625% senior notes due 2017 guarantee the convertible notes. There are no financial covenants on these notes.

Acquisition Related Debt

In connection with the acquisition of Pumpco, we entered into an equipment term loan in the aggregate amount of \$22.5 million at 7.05% interest, payable in 60 monthly installments, maturing in 2013. The proceeds from this equipment term loan were used to pay off \$8.7 million of Pumpco indebtedness, with the remaining balance funding a portion of the acquisition purchase price. We also assumed approximately \$9.5 million in notes payable for equipment and capital lease obligations. In connection with the acquisition of Nsoro, we assumed approximately \$12 million in indebtedness, which was subsequently repaid. In connection with the acquisition of Wanzek, we entered into an 8% convertible note in the principal amount of \$55 million due December 2013, which was paid off in June 2009 with the proceeds of the \$115 million Senior Convertible Notes. We also assumed approximately \$15 million of Wanzek's debt. See Note 5 – Acquisitions. There are no financial covenant requirements on our acquisition related debt.

Senior Notes

On January 31, 2007, we issued \$150 million of 7.625% senior notes due February 2017 with semi-annual interest payments of approximately \$5.7 million. The notes contain default (including cross-default) provisions and covenants restricting many of the same transactions as under our Credit Facility. The indenture which governs our senior notes allows us to incur additional indebtedness, including: credit facilities under a defined threshold, renewals to existing debt permitted under the indenture plus an additional \$50 million of indebtedness, and further indebtedness if our fixed charge coverage ratio, as defined, is at least 2:1. In addition, the indenture prohibits incurring capital lease obligations in excess of 5% of our consolidated net assets, as defined, at any time the senior notes remain outstanding. At June 30, 2009, we were in compliance with all provisions and covenants of the 7.625% senior notes.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We provide letters of credit to secure our obligations primarily related to our insurance arrangements and surety bonds. We also provide letters of credit related to legal matters. Total letters of credit reduce our available borrowings under our Credit Facility, and amounted to \$81.1 million at June 30, 2009 of which \$64.2 million were related to our insurance programs.

Certain of our contracts require us to provide performance and payment bonds, which we obtain from a surety company. If we were unable to meet our contractual obligations to a customer and the surety paid our customer the amount due under the bond, the surety would seek reimbursement of such payment from us. At June 30, 2009, the estimated cost to complete on our \$476 million performance and payment bonds was approximately \$58.2 million.

Seasonality

The budgetary years of many of our specialty infrastructure services customers end December 31. As a result, some of our customers reduce their expenditures and work order requests towards the end of the year. Adverse weather conditions, particularly during the winter season, also affect our ability to perform outdoor services in certain regions of the United States. We expect this pattern to be more dramatic in the future as a greater proportion of our projects are in northern climates due to our recent acquisitions. As a result, we experience reduced revenue in the first quarter and, to a lesser extent, in the fourth quarter, of each calendar year.

Impact of Inflation

The primary inflationary factor affecting our operations is increased labor costs. We did not experience significant inflation in labor costs in 2009 or 2008. To a lesser extent, we are also affected by changes in fuel costs which decreased significantly during the first half of 2009 compared to the same period in 2008.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to market risk related to changes in interest rates on borrowings under our Credit Facility, which accrues interest at variable rates based, at our option, on the agent bank's base rate (as defined in the Credit Facility) plus a margin of between 1.25% and 1.75%, or at the LIBOR rate plus a margin of between 2% and 3%, depending on certain financial thresholds. At June 30, 2009, the margin over LIBOR was 2.5% and the margin over the base rate was 1.25%. Based upon debt balances outstanding at June 30, 2009, a 100 basis point (i.e., 1%) addition to our weighted average effective interest rate for variable rate debt would not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations.

The remainder of our debt has fixed interest rates. Our fixed interest rate debt primarily includes \$150 million (face value) in senior notes, \$115 million in senior convertible notes issued June 5, 2009 and a \$22.5 million equipment term loan issued in connection with the Pumpco acquisition. The fair market value of the Senior Notes and the Senior Convertible Notes at June 30, 2009 was \$129.8 million and \$111.1 million, respectively.

Auction Rate Securities

The Company's securities available for sale consist of auction rate securities that represent interests in pools of student loans guaranteed by the U.S. government under the Federal Family Education Loan Program and structured finance securities. All but one of these securities, which has a par value of \$6.2 million and an estimated fair value of \$2.6 million at June 30, 2009, carry investment grade ratings from one or more of the major credit rating agencies. These structured finance securities are collateralized by credit-linked notes made up of investment grade floating rate international bank notes or credit card receivable notes and credit default swap agreements on corporate debt obligations with remaining terms of 8 to 9 years. Under the terms of these credit default swaps, the principal value of these auction rate securities would be impaired at net default rates of the underlying corporate debt obligations ranging from 8% to 10.75%. The current credit crisis and economic downturn increases both the illiquidity and default risks of these securities. See Note 6 – Securities Available for Sale in the Notes to the Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Based upon that evaluation, we concluded that as of June 30, 2009, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act are recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by paragraph (d) of Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 or 15d-15 that occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Refer to Note 10 to our condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a discussion of any recent material developments related to our legal proceedings since the filing of our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K as updated by our subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Except as set forth below, there have been no material changes to any of the risk factors disclosed in our recently filed Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The current credit crisis and economic downturn could reduce capital expenditures in the industries we serve, which may result in a decrease in demand for our services.

The demand for our services has been, and will likely continue to be, cyclical in nature and vulnerable to general downturns in the U.S. economy. Given the recent financial market turmoil and tightening of credit, our customers may not have the ability to continue to fund capital expenditures for infrastructure or may have difficulty in obtaining financing, which has resulted and may continue to result in cancellations of projects or deferral of projects to a later date. Such cancellations or deferrals have resulted and could continue to result in decreased demand for our services and could materially adversely affect our results of operations, cash flows and liquidity.

In addition, our customers are affected by economic downturns that decrease the need for their services or the profitability of their services. Slow-downs in real estate, fluctuations in commodity prices and decreased demand by end-customers for higher value services could affect our customers and their capital expenditure plans. Because we have been negatively impacted by previous economic downturns, we constantly monitor our customers' industries and their relative health compared to the economy as a whole. The recent reduction in new housing starts, for example, could negatively impact our customers who utilize our services to construct their "last mile" of communications infrastructure, as well as other industries we serve, including electric utility transmission and grid connection, water and sewer and natural gas pipeline construction. Additionally, our customers who provide satellite and broadband communications to consumers across the country could be adversely impacted by an economic downturn if new subscriptions and upgrades for new and existing consumers are not ordered at the rate that we and our customers anticipate. During an economic downturn, like the current economic downturn, our customers also may not have the ability or desire to continue to fund capital expenditures for infrastructure at their current levels or may determine to outsource less work. A decrease in any of these projects, new subscriptions and upgrades or any other services we provide could materially adversely affect our results of operations, cash flows and liquidity.

There may be future sales or other dilution of our equity, which may adversely affect the market price of our common stock; and, in connection with certain completed acquisitions, we have issued shares of our common stock or securities that are convertible into shares of our common stock or have the option to issue shares of our common stock instead of cash as consideration for future earn-out obligations, and we may agree to issue such additional securities in connection with other future acquisitions; which, if issued, may affect the market price of our common stock.

We grow our business organically as well as through acquisitions. One method of acquiring companies or otherwise funding our corporate activities is through the issuance of additional equity securities. In connection with certain completed acquisitions, we have the option to issue shares of our common stock instead of cash for certain earn-out obligations, provided we first register those shares for resale, including one such obligation for which our earn-out obligation is unlimited. Our Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation provide that we may issue up to a total 145,000,000 shares of common stock, of which 75,713,698 shares were outstanding as of July 27, 2009. Such issuances could have the effect of diluting our earnings per share as well as our existing shareholders' individual ownership percentages and could lead to volatility in our common stock price.

Additionally, subject to certain short-term lock-up agreements, we are not restricted from issuing additional common stock, including securities that are convertible into or exchangeable for, or that represent the right to receive, common stock. The issuance of additional shares of our common stock upon conversion of the notes, in connection with future acquisitions or other issuances of our common stock or convertible securities, including outstanding options and warrants, or otherwise will dilute the ownership interest of our common shareholders.

We derive a significant portion of our revenue from a few customers, and the loss of one of these customers or a reduction in their demand for our services could impair our financial performance.

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, we derived approximately 30%, 15% and 7% of our revenue from DIRECTV®, AT&T and Duke Energy, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, we derived approximately 33%, 13% and 6% of our revenue from DIRECTV®, AT&T and Duke Energy, respectively. In addition, our ten largest customers accounted for approximately 72% and 56% of our revenue in the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and our ten largest customers accounted for approximately 71% and 62% of our revenue in the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and our ten largest customers accounted for approximately 71% and 62% of our revenue in the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2008, we derived approximately 34%, 12% and 8% of our revenue from DIRECTV®, AT&T and Verizon, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2007, we derived approximately 44%, 7% and 9% of our revenue from

[Table of Contents](#)

DIRECTV®, AT&T and Verizon, respectively. In addition, our ten largest customers accounted for approximately 71%, 77% and 76% of our revenue in the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Because our business is concentrated among relatively few major customers, our revenue could significantly decline if we lose one or more of these customers or if the amount of business we obtain from them is reduced, which could result in reduced profitability and liquidity. In addition, all of the contracts with our largest customers may be canceled on short or no notice.

We have agreed to keep certain liabilities related to the state Department of Transportation related projects and assets that were sold in February 2007.

Effective February 1, 2007, we sold our state Department of Transportation related projects and assets. On January 24, 2008, we entered into a settlement agreement with the buyer of our state Department of Transportation projects and assets to settle previously disclosed warranty, indemnification and other claims primarily relating to work we had performed on the state Department of Transportation projects we sold. In connection with the settlement agreement, the parties also agreed to further amend and restate the Amended Asset Purchase Agreement effective as of January 24, 2008, which we refer to as the "Revised Amended Agreement." In connection with the sale of our state Department of Transportation related projects and assets and the related settlement, we agreed to keep certain liabilities, mainly related to the cost to maintain and continue certain performance and payment bonds, certain obligations under leases between the parties and certain other litigation matters. We may also be unable to recover any losses we incur as a result of any third party claims to the extent any third parties seek payment from us directly and we are unable to recover such losses from the buyer pursuant to the indemnification obligations contained in the Revised Amended Agreement; including, in the event the buyer were financially unable to meet certain obligations, any losses resulting from creditor claims. Under the terms of the Revised Amended Agreement, the buyer is no longer required to issue a standby letter of credit in our favor in February 2008 to cover any remaining exposure related to our bonded obligations. Instead, pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreement, the buyer entered into indemnity agreements directly with certain surety bonding companies in connection with our bonded obligations. Therefore, if the buyer is unable to meet its contractual obligations, the surety bonding company can seek its remedies under the indemnity agreement. If the surety bonding company, however, pays the amounts due under the bonds, the surety bonding company will seek reimbursement of such payment from us. Accordingly, we may incur losses in the future related to these contingent liabilities if the buyer does not complete the bonded contracts and we are unable to recover such losses from the buyer pursuant to the indemnification provisions contained in the Revised Amended Agreement. At June 30, 2009, we estimated that the remaining cost to complete these state Department of Transportation projects was \$0.9 million on the related \$159.7 million in performance and payment bonds.

We have cumulative unrealized losses in 2009 and 2008 to reduce the carrying value of certain auction rate securities we hold, and we may incur additional unrealized losses or impairment charges with respect to auction rate securities in future periods.

The current overall credit concerns in capital markets may affect our ability to liquidate certain securities that we classify as securities available for sale on our balance sheet. The Company's securities available for sale consist of auction-rate securities which represent interests in pools of student loans guaranteed by the U.S. government under the Federal Family Education Loan Program and structured finance securities. These structured finance securities are collateralized by credit-linked notes made up of investment grade floating rate international bank notes or credit card receivable notes and credit default swap agreements on corporate debt obligations. As of June 30, 2009, all of our securities available for sale, or \$33.7 million in par value of auction rate securities, had insufficient bidders at the scheduled rollover dates indicating that immediate liquidity is unavailable. We have recorded an aggregate unrealized loss on these securities of \$10.8 million as of June 30, 2009, and have classified the \$22.8 million estimated fair value of these securities as non current assets at June 30, 2009.

Our valuation is sensitive to market conditions and management's judgment and can change significantly based on the assumptions used. Factors that may impact our valuation include changes to credit ratings of the securities as well as to the underlying assets supporting those securities, rates of default of the underlying assets, underlying collateral value, discount rates, counterparty risk and ongoing strength and quality of market credit and liquidity. In May 2009, one of our corporate debt obligation securities available for sale with a par value of \$6.2 million and estimated fair value of \$2.6 million, was downgraded to non-investment grade by two of the major rating agencies. If there were events of default on the underlying portfolio of the credit default swaps that exceeded the stated net default rates, which range from 8% to 10.75%, we could suffer a loss on some or all of the principal value of these corporate debt obligation auction rate securities. At this time, we are uncertain as to whether the liquidity issues associated with the auction process will improve, whether, if at all, we will be able to exit these investments at their par value, or whether we will incur any additional temporary or other-than-temporary losses as a result of these investments.

[Table of Contents](#)

We have a significant amount of debt. Our substantial indebtedness could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations and our ability to meet our payment obligations.

We have a significant amount of debt and substantial debt service requirements. As of June 30, 2009, we had \$313 million of outstanding debt.

This level of debt could have significant consequences on our future operations, including:

- making it more difficult for us to meet our payment and other obligations;
- an event of default if we fail to comply with the financial and other restrictive covenants contained in our debt agreements, which event of default could result in all of our debt becoming immediately due and payable;
- reducing the availability of our cash flow to fund working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions and other general corporate purposes, and limiting our ability to obtain additional financing for these purposes;
- subjecting us to the risk of increased sensitivity to interest rate increases on our indebtedness with variable interest rates, including borrowings under our Credit Facility;
- limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, and increasing our vulnerability to, changes in our business, the industry in which we operate and the general economy; and
- placing us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt or are less leveraged.

Any of the above-listed factors could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and our ability to meet our payment obligations.

Our ability to meet our payment and other obligations under our debt instruments depends on our ability to generate significant cash flow in the future. This, to some extent, is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative and regulatory factors as well as other factors that are beyond our control. We cannot assure you that our business will generate cash flow from operations, or that future borrowings will be available to us under our Credit Facility or otherwise, in an amount sufficient to enable us to meet our payment obligations and to fund other liquidity needs. If we are not able to generate sufficient cash flow to service our debt obligations, we may need to refinance or restructure our debt, sell assets, reduce or delay capital investments, or seek to raise additional capital. If we are unable to implement one or more of these alternatives, we may not be able to meet our payment obligations.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

We held our 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders on May 14, 2009 at which time the holders of a majority of our issued and outstanding common stock (68,051,255 out of the total issued and outstanding of 75,529,619) were present and voted to approve the election of our Class II directors noted in the chart below. The following directors' terms of office continued after the meeting: Ernst Csiszar, Robert Dwyer, Frank Jaumot, Julia Johnson, Jorge Mas and Jose Sorzano.

Set forth below are the results of the election of directors:

| <u>Class II Directors (term to expire in 2012)</u> | <u>Votes for</u> | <u>Votes Against</u> | <u>Votes Withheld</u> |
|--|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Jose R. Mas | 67,081,333 | — | 969,922 |
| John Van Heuvelen | 63,685,050 | — | 4,366,205 |

The shareholders also voted to approve an increase in the number of shares of common stock we may issue up to 145,000,000 with 64,959,833 voting for this proposal, 3,030,538 voting against, and 60,884 withholding their vote.

[Table of Contents](#)

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

| <u>Exhibit No.</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|--------------------|---|
| 3.2 | Fourth Amended and Restated By-laws of MasTec, Inc., amended and restated as of May 29, 2008, filed as Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 4, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein. |
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Valuation Firm. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: July 29, 2009

MASTEC, INC.

/s/ Jose R. Mas

Jose R. Mas
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ C. Robert Campbell

C. Robert Campbell
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Exhibit Index

| <u>Exhibit No.</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|--------------------|---|
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Valuation Firm. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

Consent of Independent Valuation Firm

We hereby consent to the references to Houlihan Smith & Company, Inc. (“Houlihan”) and to our valuation report dated June 30, 2009 (our “Report”) relating to the estimation of fair value of certain auction rate securities held by MasTec, Inc. (the “Company”) as of June 30, 2009, including the use of information contained within our Report, for the purpose of the incorporation by reference of such information from the Company’s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 into the following Registration Statements: Form S-3 Nos. 333-158502, 333-142083, 333-133252, 333-46067 and Form S-8 Nos. 333-139996, 333-112010, 333-105781, 333-105516, 333-38940, 333-38932, 333-77823, 333-47003, and 333-30647.

We also consent to the reference to our firm under the caption “Experts” in the above-referenced Registration Statements.

/s/ Houlihan Smith & Company, Inc.
Houlihan Smith & Company, Inc.

July 24, 2009

**CERTIFICATIONS REQUIRED BY SECTION 302(A)
OF SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Jose R. Mas, certify that:

I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of MasTec, Inc.;

Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;

Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;

The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:

- a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under my supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
- b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- d) Disclosed in this quarterly report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

- a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: July 29, 2009

/s/ Jose R. Mas

Jose R. Mas
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

**CERTIFICATIONS REQUIRED BY SECTION 302(A)
OF SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, C. Robert Campbell, certify that:

I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of MasTec, Inc.;

Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;

Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;

The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:

- a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under my supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
- b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- d) Disclosed in this quarterly report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

- a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: July 29, 2009

/s/ C. Robert Campbell

C. Robert Campbell
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of MasTec, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2009 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Jose R. Mas, President and Chief Executive Officer of MasTec, Inc., certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to the best of my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: July 29, 2009

/s/ Jose R. Mas

Jose R. Mas

President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

The certification set forth above is being furnished as an exhibit solely pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and is not being filed as part of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2009, or as a separate disclosure documents of we or the certifying officers.

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of MasTec, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2009 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, C. Robert Campbell, Chief Financial Officer of MasTec, Inc., certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to the best of my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: July 29, 2009

/s/ C. Robert Campbell

C. Robert Campbell
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

The certification set forth above is being furnished as an exhibit solely pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and is not being filed as part of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2009, or as a separate disclosure documents of we or the certifying officers.